

POLYNOMIAL-TIME ALGORITHM FOR VERTEX k -COLORABILITY OF P_5 -FREE GRAPHS

MARCIN KAMIŃSKI* AND VADIM LOZIN§

ABSTRACT. We give the first polynomial-time algorithm for coloring vertices of P_5 -free graphs with k colors. This settles an open problem and generalizes several previously known results.

1. INTRODUCTION

A k -coloring of a graph is an assignment of numbers from set $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$ (called *colors*) to the vertices of a graph in such a way that the endpoints of each edge receive different colors.

It is well known that deciding k -colorability is an NP-complete problem for any $k \geq 3$. Moreover, it remains difficult under substantial restrictions, for instance, for line graphs [9] (which is equivalent to edge colorability), graphs of low degree [11] or triangle-free graphs [13]. On the other hand, the problem can be solved in polynomial time for perfect graphs [7], locally connected graphs [10], and for some classes defined by forbidden induced subgraphs [14, 15, 17].

Given a class of graphs without induced subgraphs isomorphic to the induced path on t vertices (we denote such a path by P_t and call such graphs P_t -free), we want to investigate whether the k -COLORABILITY problem can be solved in this class in polynomial time or can be proved to be NP-complete. A number of results has been obtained in this area for different combinations of parameters k and t .

Sgall and Woeginger showed in [18] that 5-COLORABILITY is NP-complete for P_8 -free graphs and 4-COLORABILITY is NP-complete for P_{12} -free graphs. The last result was improved in [12], where the authors claim that modifying the reduction from [18] 4-COLORABILITY can be shown to be NP-complete for P_9 -free graphs.

The problem can be solved in polynomial time for P_4 -free graphs as they constitute a subclass of perfect graphs. Two more polynomial-time results are deciding 3-COLORABILITY of P_5 -free [17, 18] and P_6 -free graphs [16].

Table 1 summarizes known results for the k -COLORABILITY problem in the class of P_t -free graphs. (A similar table appears for the first time in [18] and is being updated and redrawn ever since in all publications contributing to the area.) When looking at the previous results, two possible research directions seem promising and they were listed as open problems in [15]. We restate them here.

*RUTCOR, Rutgers Univeristy, 640 Bartholomew Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA,
email: mkaminski@rutcor.rutgers.edu

§ RUTCOR, Rutgers Univeristy, 640 Bartholomew Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA,
email: lozin@rutcor.rutgers.edu.

	$t = 4$	$t = 5$	$t = 6$	$t = 7$	$t = 8$	$t = 9$	$t = 10$	\dots
$k = 3$	P	P	P	?	?	?	?	?
$k = 4$	P	?	?	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc
$k = 5$	P	?	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc
$k = 6$	P	?	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc
$k = 7$	P	?	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc
\dots	P	?	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc

TABLE 1. Complexity results for k -colorability of P_t -free graphs.

Problem 1. *Is there an polynomial-time algorithm for the 4-COLORABILITY problem in the class of P_5 -free graphs?*

Problem 2. *Is there an polynomial-time algorithm for the 3-COLORABILITY problem in the class of P_7 -free graphs?*

As far as we know, there has been no progress on the second problem, while for the first some partial results were obtained. The authors of [12] showed that the 4-COLORABILITY problem can be solved in polynomial time in the class of (P_5, C_5) -free graphs. Another result was obtained in [8], where the authors present a polynomial-time algorithm to solve the 4-COLORABILITY problem in P_5 -free graphs containing a dominating clique on four vertices.

In this paper, we give a complete solution to the k -COLORABILITY problem in P_5 -free graphs for an arbitrary value of k . In fact, our algorithm solves a more general version of the problem, known as LIST COLORING. We formally define this problem and provide other necessary background information in the next section.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The algorithmic problem we study in this paper is k -LIST-COLORING. An instance of the problem consists of a graph and a list of colors available for each vertex. More formally, an instance $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L})$ of the k -LIST-COLORING problem is a graph with vertex set V , edge set E , and a function $\mathcal{L} : V \rightarrow 2^{[k]}$. An instance G is k -colorable if there exists a k -coloring of the vertices of G such that each vertex v is assigned a color from $\mathcal{L}(v)$. A k -coloring is called *chromatic* if the graph is not $(k - 1)$ -colorable.

For a set $W \subseteq V$, we write $\mathcal{L}(W) = \bigcup_{w \in W} \mathcal{L}(w)$. We say that $\mathcal{L}(v)$ (or $\mathcal{L}(W)$) is the *palette* of v (or W). When we want to emphasize the underlying instance H , we write \mathcal{L}_H ; if the subscript is omitted, we always refer to G .

If a vertex is assigned a color, we can exclude that color from the palettes of all its neighbors. We say that an instance $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L})$ is in simplified form if there are no adjacent vertices $v, w \in V$ such that $|\mathcal{L}(v)| = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(w)$. In this paper we assume that every instance is in simplified form and that instance simplification in the algorithm is done implicitly. (It can be easily performed in time linear in the number of edges.)

While looking for a coloring in a graph, two adjacent vertices with disjoint palettes can be as well thought of as non-adjacent. Essential are only those pairs of adjacent vertices whose palettes are not disjoint. This observation motivates the following definition.

For a vertex $v \in V$, we define the set of its *essential neighbors* $\mathcal{E}(v) = \{w \in N(v) : \mathcal{L}(v) \cap \mathcal{L}(w) \neq \emptyset\}$. The remaining neighbors $N(v) - \mathcal{E}(v)$ are called *non-essential*. Similarly, for a set $W \subseteq V$, we define the set of its essential neighbors $\mathcal{E}(W) = \bigcup_{v \in W} \mathcal{E}(v)$. Note that the relation of being an essential (non-essential) neighbor is symmetric. Also, assigning a color to a vertex does not change possible color choices for its non-essential neighbors.

Our solution is based on an interesting structural property of P_5 -free graphs that has been described by Bascó and Tuza in [1]. (The properties of graphs without long induced paths have been also studied in other papers: [2, 3, 4, 6].) Following their terminology, we say that a graph H is dominating in G if G contains a dominating set that induces a graph isomorphic to H . In particular, a dominating clique in G is a dominating set which induces a complete graph. Similarly, a dominating P_3 is a dominating set which induces a path on 3 vertices.

Theorem 3 ([1]). *In every P_5 -free connected graph there is a dominating clique or a dominating P_3 .*

We will refer to a dominating set that induces a complete graph or P_3 as a *dominating structure*. To give an application of the theorem, let us consider the 3-LIST-COLORING problem in the class of P_5 -free graphs. Notice that once a 3-coloring of vertices in a dominating structure D is fixed, then $|\mathcal{L}(v)| \leq 2$ for all $v \in V$. The question whether the coloring of D can be extended to the whole graph, can be modeled as a 2-SAT instance and solved in polynomial time. Hence, considering all possible 3-colorings of D and checking extendability of each, we can obtain a polynomial algorithm for the 3-LIST-COLORING problem in the class of P_5 -free graphs. (See [17] for more details.)

Taking into account the special role of dominating sets in P_5 -free graphs, we extend each instance of the k -LIST-COLORING problem by adding to it a dominating structure, i.e., throughout the paper an instance $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L}, D)$ of the k -LIST-COLORING problem is a graph G with vertex set V , edge set E , function $\mathcal{L} : V \rightarrow 2^{[k]}$ and a dominating set $D \subseteq V$.

Given a dominating set D , we partition all vertices in $V - D$ into disjoint subsets depending on their neighborhood in the set D . For $I \subseteq D$, $U_I(G) = \{v \in V \setminus D : N(v) \cap D = I\}$. If G is the underlying instance, we just write U_I . The sets U_I for $I \subseteq D$ will be referred to as *bags*.

The nature of our solution is inductive. Designing the algorithm that solves the k -LIST-COLORING problem, we assume there exist polynomial-time algorithms for the same problem with smaller values of k . The problem can be easily solved for $k = 1, 2$ and, with a bit more effort, for $k = 3$. So, below we assume that k is at least 4. Notice that our inductive assumption allows us to find a chromatic coloring of a $(k - 1)$ -colorable P_5 -free graph in polynomial time.

The main idea of our k -coloring algorithm is to use the structural property of P_5 -free graphs to create a set \mathcal{G} of simpler instances. We will say that an instance G is compatible with a set \mathcal{G} if G is k -colorable if and only if at least one of the instances in \mathcal{G} is k -colorable. Notice that if G is compatible with \mathcal{G} and some $H \in \mathcal{G}$ is compatible with \mathcal{H} , then G is compatible with $(\mathcal{G} \setminus H) \cup \mathcal{H}$. For clarity, we divided the description of our solution into three parts, each corresponding to one of the following sections. Throughout the paper, n stands for the number of vertices of G , and ‘polynomial’ means ‘polynomial in n ’.

3. DOMINATING AN INDEPENDENT SET

Let $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L}, D)$ be an instance of the problem, U_I, U_J two different bags, and S, T two independent sets belonging to U_I and U_J , respectively. We denote by S' the set of essential neighbors of T in S , and similarly, by T' the set of essential neighbors of S in T . Observe that S' is empty if and only if T' is empty. Moreover, every vertex of S' has a neighbor in T' and vice versa.

Lemma 4. *If $S' \neq \emptyset$, there exists a vertex in S' that is adjacent to all vertices in T' .*

Proof. Let s_1 be a vertex of S' with a maximal neighborhood in T' . Assume there exists a vertex $t_2 \in T'$ that is not adjacent to s_1 . Then, there must exist a vertex $s_2 \in S'$ (different than s_1) adjacent to t_2 . By the choice of s_1 , the vertex s_2 must have a non-neighbor $t_1 \in T' \cap N(s_1)$. Since $I \neq J$, there exists a vertex $v \in (I \setminus J) \cup (J \setminus I)$, but then $G[v, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2]$ is an induced P_5 ; a contradiction. \square

Notice that from Lemma 4 it follows that the vertices of S' can be linearly ordered with respect to the neighborhood containment.

Let $v \in S'$ be a vertex that dominates T' and let us look at the palette of v . We can divide it into two parts – the colors that belong to the palette of T' and the remaining ones. Notice that assigning to v one of the colors from the palette of T' decreases the size of the palette of T' in the resulting instance. On the other hand, truncating the palette of v so that it contains only the colors not belonging to the palette of T' decreases the size of S' in the resulting instance. The following procedure makes use of this observation.

PROCEDURE $\Pi_{S,T}$

INPUT: Instance $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L}, D)$.

OUTPUT: Set \mathcal{G} of instances.

STEP 1. Let $\mathcal{G} = \emptyset$. If $S' = \emptyset$, then RETURN $\{G\}$.

STEP 2. Find a vertex $v \in S'$ that dominates T' . For every $d \in \mathcal{L}(v) \cap \mathcal{L}(T')$, ADD TO \mathcal{G} an instance $G' = (V, E, \mathcal{L}_{G'}, D)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{G'}(v) = d$ and $\mathcal{L}_{G'}(w) = \mathcal{L}(w)$ for all vertices $w \in V \setminus \{v\}$.

STEP 3. If $\mathcal{L}(v) \setminus \mathcal{L}(T') \neq \emptyset$, create an instance $G' = (V, E, \mathcal{L}_{G'}, D)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{G'}(v) = \mathcal{L}(v) \setminus \mathcal{L}(T')$ and $\mathcal{L}_{G'}(w) = \mathcal{L}(w)$ for all vertices $w \in V \setminus \{v\}$. ADD TO \mathcal{G} the instances returned by $\Pi(G')$.

STEP 4. RETURN \mathcal{G} .

Claim 5. *Let G be the input instance and \mathcal{G} the output set of instances of PROCEDURE $\Pi_{S,T}$. Then*

(*) \mathcal{G} compatible with G and

(**) for each $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$, $S'_{G_t} = \emptyset$ or $|\mathcal{L}_{G_t}(T'_{G_t})| < |\mathcal{L}(T')|$.

Moreover, PROCEDURE $\Pi_{S,T}$ runs in polynomial time.

Proof. To prove (*) and (**), we will proceed by induction on $|S'|$.

If $|S'| = 0$, then $T' = \emptyset$ and \mathcal{G} consists only of G (STEP 1). Clearly, G is compatible with $\{G\}$ and (**) is also satisfied.

Suppose that $|S'| = i$ and for all instances H with $|S'_H| < i$ the output of the procedure satisfies both conditions (*) and (**). First, let us notice that if one of the instances in \mathcal{G} is k -colorable, then so is G because for each instance $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$ and each vertex $v \in V$, $\mathcal{L}_{G_t}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(v)$.

Now suppose that G is k -colorable. Since in any k -coloring of G , v receives a color from $\mathcal{L}(v)$, then either one of instances created in STEP 2 or G' is k -colorable. If none of the instances created in STEP 2 is k -colorable, then G' must be k -colorable and – by the induction hypothesis – at least one of the instances created in STEP 3 is k -colorable. Hence, (*) is satisfied.

It is easy to see that all instances G_t created in STEP 2 have $|\mathcal{L}_{G_t}(T'_{G_t})| < |\mathcal{L}(T')|$. The set of instances created in STEP 3 comes from a call of the procedure for G' and for these instances the condition is satisfied by the induction hypothesis, since $|S'_{G'}| < |S'|$. Hence, (**) is satisfied.

Now let us show the running time of the procedure. Clearly, identifying sets S', T' , finding a dominating vertex v (STEP 2) and creating new instances can be done in polynomial time. Notice that the recursive call in STEP 3 is done for an instance with a smaller essential part of S so the depth of the recursion is at most n . Hence, the running time follows. \square

Now we are going to use PROCEDURE $\Pi_{S,T}$ to design an algorithm that given G creates a set of instances \mathcal{G} compatible with G . We also want \mathcal{G} to have a polynomial size and we require that in each instance $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$, S has no essential neighbors in T .

Lemma 6. *There exists a polynomial-time ALGORITHM $\Pi'_{S,T}(G)$ such that given two independent sets $S \subseteq U_I^J$ and $T \subseteq U_J^I$ generates a set of instances \mathcal{G} compatible with G , such that for each $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$, $S'_{G_t} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Each call of PROCEDURE $\Pi_{S,T}$ produces a set compatible with G that has a polynomial number of members (in fact at most kn). All members have either $S'(G_t) = \emptyset$ or fewer colors in the palette of $T'(G_t)$ than in the palette of T' .

Calling PROCEDURE $\Pi_{S,T}$ recursively until all instances have the property $T'(G_t) = \emptyset$ builds a search tree of bounded depth (at most k) and polynomial degree (at most kn). Hence, the number of instances is polynomial and so is the running time of the algorithm. \square

4. DOMINATING COLOR CLASSES

In this section, as in the previous one, $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L}, D)$ is an instance of the problem, and U_I, U_J are two different bags. We denote by U_I^J the set of essential neighbors of U_I in U_J . PROCEDURE $\Theta_{I,J}$ presented in this section is parameterized by I and J .

PROCEDURE $\Theta_{I,J}$

INPUT: Instance $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L}, D)$.

OUTPUT: Set \mathcal{G} of instances.

STEP 1. If $U_I^J = \emptyset$, RETURN G .

STEP 2. Find a chromatic coloring of $G[U_I^J]$ and let A be one of the color classes (non-empty). Color $G[U_I^J]$ with $k - 1$ colors and let B_1, \dots, B_{k-1} be the color classes of that coloring. If $G[U_I^J]$ or $G[U_I^J]$ are not k -colorable, then RETURN $\{\emptyset\}$. Otherwise, let $\mathcal{G} := \{G\}$ and $\mathcal{H} := \emptyset$.

STEP 3. FOR EACH $i = 1, \dots, k$ DO

STEP 4. IF $B_i \neq \emptyset$ THEN

STEP 5. FOR EACH $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$,
 ADD TO \mathcal{H} the instances returned by $\Pi'_{A,B_i}(G_t)$.

STEP 6. $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H} := \emptyset$.

STEP 7. END FOR

STEP 8. RETURN \mathcal{G} .

Claim 7. *Let G be the input instance and \mathcal{G} the output set of instances of PROCEDURE $\Theta_{I,J}$. Then G is compatible with \mathcal{G} and for each $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$, either $U_I^J(G_t) = \emptyset$ or the chromatic number of $U_I^J(G_t)$ is strictly smaller than that of $U_I^J(G)$. Moreover, PROCEDURE $\Theta_{I,J}$ runs in polynomial time.*

Proof. First let us notice that the set \mathcal{H} is obtained from the set \mathcal{G} by replacing instances $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$ with a set of instances compatible with G_t . Hence, after STEP 5, G is compatible with the set \mathcal{H} if and only if G is compatible with the set \mathcal{G} . Since G is compatible with \mathcal{G} before the loop (STEP 2), it is also compatible after STEP 8, and therefore G is compatible with the output set \mathcal{G} .

Notice that after i -th iteration of the loop (STEPS 3 – 7), for all $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$ there are no vertices in A that have an essential neighbor in B_i . Therefore at STEP 8, for all $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$, no vertex from A has an essential neighbor in U_I^J and clearly either $U_I^J(G_t) = \emptyset$ or $\chi(U_I^J(G_t)) < \chi(U_I^J(G))$.

Each call of PROCEDURE Π'_{A,B_i} in STEP 5 produces a polynomial number of instances with a smaller chromatic number. For each such an instance the procedure Π' is called recursively and since the depth of the recursion is bounded by k , the running time of the algorithm is polynomial. \square

Now we use the procedure to design an algorithm that given G creates a set of instances \mathcal{G} compatible with G such that for each $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$, $U_I^J(G_t)$ is empty.

Lemma 8. *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm $\Theta'_{I,J}$ that given two different sets $I, J \subset D$ generates a set of instances \mathcal{G} compatible with G such that for each $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$, $U_I^J(G_t) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Calling PROCEDURE $\Theta_{I,J}$ recursively until instances have the property $U_I^J(G_t) = \emptyset$ builds a search tree of bounded depth (at most k), since at each step the chromatic number

of $U_I^J(G_t)$ decreases. The degree of each node in this tree is bounded by a polynomial. Hence, the running time of the algorithm is polynomial. \square

5. MAIN ALGORITHM

In this section we combine techniques described above to construct an algorithm that solves the k -LIST-COLORING problem in the class of P_5 -free graphs. Let us notice that we can assume that the input graph is connected, as if it is not, the k -LIST-COLORING problem can be solved on its connected components separately.

We divide the presentation of the main algorithm into three steps. First, we make a simple observation about an instance whose all bags are separated. A bag is called *separated* if all essential neighbors of its vertices belong to the bag itself.

Lemma 9. *Let $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L}, D)$ be an instance of the k -LIST-COLORING problem such that for each $I \subset D$, the bag U_I is separated and for each $v \in D$, $|\mathcal{L}(v)| = 1$. The k -LIST-COLORING problem can be solved on G in polynomial time.*

Proof. Since vertices of U_I have no essential neighbors outside U_I and vertices of the dominating structure have been already colored, graphs $G[U_I]$ can be colored separately for each $I \subset D$ and solutions can be glued together. Notice that each bag U_I together with the set I dominating it is in fact an instance of the $(k-1)$ -LIST-COLORING problem. By the inductive assumption this can be solved in polynomial time. \square

Second, we show that there is a polynomial-time procedure that given an instance G with a dominating set of bounded size creates a set of instances \mathcal{G} compatible with G such that in each instance all the bags are separated.

ALGORITHM Λ

INPUT: Instance $G = (V, E, \mathcal{L}, D)$.

OUTPUT: Set \mathcal{G} of instances.

STEP 0. Let $\mathcal{G} := \{G\}$ and $\mathcal{H} := \emptyset$.

STEP 1. FOR EACH k -coloring of D DO

STEP 2. FOR EACH $I, J \subset D, I \neq J$ DO

STEP 3. IF $U_I^J \neq \emptyset$ THEN

STEP 4. FOR EACH $G_t \in \mathcal{G}$,
 ADD TO \mathcal{H} the instances returned by $\Theta'_{I,J}(G_t)$;

STEP 5. $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H} := \emptyset$;

STEP 6. END FOR

STEP 7. END FOR

STEP 8. RETURN \mathcal{G}

Lemma 10. *For any input G , the set \mathcal{G} of instances returned by ALGORITHM Λ is compatible with G , and in each instance all the bags are separated. Moreover, if $|D| \leq k$, then the running time of ALGORITHM Λ is polynomial.*

	$t = 4$	$t = 5$	$t = 6$	$t = 7$	$t = 8$	$t = 9$	$t = 10$	\dots
$k = 3$	P	P	P	?	?	?	?	?
$k = 4$	P	P	?	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc
$k = 5$	P	P	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc
$k = 6$	P	P	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc
$k = 7$	P	P	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc
\dots	P	P	?	?	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc

TABLE 2. Improved complexity results for k -colorability of P_t -free graphs.

Proof. First let us notice that since the size of D is bounded so is the number of k -colorings of D (STEP 1) and the number of pairs of subsets $I, J \subset D$ (STEP 2). Hence, STEP 3 will be performed at most a constant number of times and STEP 4 takes a polynomial time, so the polynomial running time of the whole algorithm follows.

From Lemma 8 it is clear that after STEP 4, G is compatible with \mathcal{H} if and only if G is compatible with G . After STEP 5, all graphs G_t in \mathcal{G} have $U_I^J(G_t) = 0$ for all pairs I, J that have been considered so far. Clearly, at STEP 8 all instances in \mathcal{G} have $U_I^J(G_t) = 0$ for all pairs $I, J \subset D$, $I \neq J$ and, hence, $U_I(G_t)$ is separated for each $I \subset D$. \square

Now we are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 11. *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm for the k -LIST-COLORING problem.*

Proof. A k -colorable graph cannot contain a clique on $k + 1$ vertices as its subgraph. We assume that the input instance G does not contain such a subgraph. (This can be done in polynomial time, and if G contains a clique on $k + 1$ vertices, then the instance is not k -colorable.)

According to Theorem 3, a connected P_5 -free graph contains either a dominating clique or a dominating P_3 . Since the size of any clique in G is at most k , the size of the dominating structure is also bounded by k and a dominating set D can be found in polynomial time.

ALGORITHM A is called for such an instance G . It creates a set of instances \mathcal{G} that is compatible with G . Moreover, all bags of every graph in \mathcal{G} are separated and instances of this type can be handled by Lemma 9. \square

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper we gave the first polynomial time algorithm solving the k -LIST-COLORING problem in the class of P_5 -free graphs. The updated Table 2 presents the current landscape of complexity results on colorability in graphs without long induced paths.

We purposely do not provide a more precise time bound of the running time as the recursive nature of our solution makes it highly exponential in k . To find a better fixed parameter algorithm for the k -colorability problem of P_5 -free graphs is an interesting direction for further research. Another would be to determine what is the computational complexity of finding the chromatic number of a P_5 -free graph.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. BASCÓ, Zs. TUZA, Dominating cliques in P_5 -free graphs, *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, 21(4), 303 – 308, 1990
- [2] G. BASCÓ, Zs. TUZA, A characterization of graphs without long induced paths, *Journal of Graph Theory*, 14(4), 155 – 464, 1990
- [3] G. BASCÓ, Zs. TUZA, Dominating properties and induced subgraphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 111, 37 – 40, 1993
- [4] G. BASCÓ, Zs. TUZA, Structural domination of graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, 63, 235 – 256, 2002
- [5] D. G. CORNEIL, Y. PERL, L.K. STEWART, Cographs: recogniton, applications, and algorithms, *Congressus Numer.* 43, 249 – 258, 1984
- [6] M. COZZENS, L. KELLEHER, Dominating cliques in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 86, 101 – 116, 1990
- [7] M. GRÖTSCHEL, L. LOVÁSZ and A. SCHRIJVER, Polynomial algorithms for perfect graphs, *Ann. Discrete Math.* 21 (1984) 325–356.
- [8] C. HOANG, J. SAWADA, Z. WANG, Colorability of P_5 -free graphs, available at: <http://www.cis.uoguelph.ca/~sawada/pub.html>
- [9] Ian Holyer, The NP-completeness of edge-coloring, *SIAM J. Computing*, 10 (1981) 718–720.
- [10] M. Kochol, 3-coloring and 3-clique-ordering of locally connected graphs, *Journal of Algorithms*, 54 (2005) 122–125.
- [11] M. Kochol, V. Lozin, B. Randerath, The 3-colorability problem on graphs with maximum degree 4, *SIAM J. Computing*, 32 (2003) 1128–1139.
- [12] V. BANG LE, B. RANDEATH, I. SCHIERMEYER, Two remarks on coloring graphs without long induced paths, in Report No. 7/2006 (Algorithmic Graph Theory), Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach
- [13] F. Maffray and M. Preissmann, On the NP-completeness of the k -colorability problem for triangle-free graphs, *Discrete Math.* 162 (1996) 313–317.
- [14] B. Randerath, 3-colorability and forbidden subgraphs. I. Characterizing pairs, *Discrete Math.* 276 (2004) 313–325.
- [15] B. RANDEATH, I. SCHIERMEYER, Vertex coloring and forbidden subgraphs – a survey, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 20(1), 1 – 40, 2004
- [16] B. RANDEATH, I. SCHIERMEYER, 3-colorability $\in \mathcal{P}$ for P_6 -free graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 136, 299 – 313, 2004
- [17] B. RANDEATH, I. SCHIERMEYER, M. TEWES, Three-colourability and forbidden subgraphs. II: polynomial algorithms, *Discrete Mathematics* 251, 137 – 153, 2002
- [18] J. SGALL, G. J. WOEGINGER, The complexity of coloring graphs without long induced paths, *Acta Cybernetica* 15(1), 107–117, 2001